

**Our Ref:** 165-13-485

**Your Ref:**



**SURREY  
POLICE**  
*With you, making  
Surrey safer*

Paul McNamara  
OpenWorld News

foi.police@openworldnews.com

**Information Access Team  
Surrey Police H.Q**  
01483 630007

17<sup>th</sup> July 2013

Dear Mr McNamara,

**FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST REFERENCE NO: 165-13-485**

I write in connection with your request for information received on the 2<sup>nd</sup> July 2013 I 2013 in regards to the following question:-

1 a) On how many occasions in 2013 (up until today's date 2 July 2013) was a Taser deployed against an animal. Please provide the species of animal as well as how the Taser was deployed (i.e. drive-stun mode, firings and incidents where the taser was drawn and aimed.)

b) Please also provide a summary for each incident.

Please could you also provide:

2) The same for 2012

3) The same for 2011

4) The same for 2010

If my request is denied in whole or in part, I ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specific exemptions of the act.

The information you have requested is held by Surrey Police

1. Nil

2. Nil

3. 1 = Dog – Drawn Taser and arced – Had bitten 2 Police Officers

4. 1 = Dog – Drawn, Red Dot and Fired – Had bitten people in the street

I bring to your attention that police forces in the United Kingdom are routinely required to provide statistics to government bodies and the recording criteria is set nationally.

If you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, Surrey Police provides you the right to request a re-examination of your case under its review procedure. How to do this is set out in the attached Appeals Notice. Having followed the full procedure, if you are still dissatisfied, then you have the right to direct your comments to the Information Commissioner who will give your case consideration.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank you for your interest in Surrey Police. Should you have any further enquiries concerning this matter, please write or contact us on 01483 - 630007 quoting the reference number above.

Yours sincerely

Robert J Scott  
**Information Access Decision Maker**